Application No. 10/826,913 Amendment dated February 9, 2006 Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant believes that the method claims 18 - 19 of the above-identified patent application recite the same invention as do apparatus claims 1 - 17 and 20 - 21. Since the method claims recite the apparatus itself, and since the function of the apparatus is to perform the claimed method, Applicant believes that both apparatus and method for using same are integrated and should be searchable in the same classes.

Not withstanding the foregoing argument and only if the Examiner upholds his restriction requirement, Applicant hereby elects, with traverse, the claims of Group I (claims 1 - 17 and 20 - 21) drawn to a pad support.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Alexandra, VA 22313-1450

(Date of Deposit)

Mark Levy, Reg. No. 29188 (Date)

Attornev

Respectfully submitted, MARK LEVY & ASSOCIATES, PLLC

By: Mark Levy

Registration No. 29,88 Attorney for Applicant

Press Building - Suite 902

19 Chenango Street

Binghamton, New York 13901

Phone: (607) 722-6600